

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 2795 10/046,183 01/16/2002 Masahiro Uchida 111718 **EXAMINER** 25944 7590 10/12/2004 LEFLORE, LAUREL E **OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC** P.O. BOX 19928 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 2673

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED OCT 1 4 2004 Technology Center 2600

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/046,183	UCHIDA ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Laurel E LeFlore	2673
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SH THE   - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reproperty of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing adpatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTE. cause the application to become AB	rply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)
Status			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25.	lune 2004.	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowardlosed in accordance with the practice under		
Dispositi	on of Claims		
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 7-17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 7-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
	on Papers		
9)□ ¹ 10)⊠ ¹	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 16 January 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a) accepted or b) ob drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap prity documents have been i u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment	r(s)		
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date 4/26/04,8/26/04.	Paper No(s)	immary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -

Art Unit: 2673

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 11 recites the limitation, "the organic electroluminescent device being used as a light source for said liquid crystal device." It is noted that claim 11 is dependent on currently amended claim 1, which recites, "said liquid crystal device being a transflective liquid crystal display device." An embodiment of the present invention that consists of a organic electroluminescent device being used as a light source for a transflective liquid crystal display is not found in the specification as originally filed.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lebby et al. 6,069,593 in view of Moriya 2001/0003707 A1 further in view of Kitai 2002/0163606 A1.

In regard to claim 1, Lebby et al. discloses a mobile terminal. See figure 1, element 10 and column 2, line 33, disclosing "a portable electronic device".

Art Unit: 2673

The mobile terminal comprises an organic electroluminescent device that displays (see figures 1 and 2, element 28) and a liquid crystal device that displays (see figures 1 and 2, element 26). See column 3, lines 39-42, disclosing that "large direct view display 26 is a non-emissive liquid crystal display (LCD) and small direct view display 28 is an emissive display such as one utilizing organic electroluminescent technology." Lebby further discloses that the displays display images. See figure 1 and column 2, lines 49-51, disclosing, "Display carrier 14 includes in this particular embodiment a plurality of display apparatus 15 for viewing display images."

Lebby does not disclose that the mobile terminal is capable of being folded such that the organic electroluminescent device faces inside while the liquid crystal device faces outside.

Moriya discloses a mobile terminal that is capable of being folded such that one display device faces inside while another display device faces outside. See figures 4 and 5 and paragraphs [0039]-[0041] disclosing, "two housing sections 10 and 20 are connected by a hinge...to form the folded type portable radio apparatus...The first housing section 10 is provided with a...first display section 12...the respective back surfaces of the first and second housing sections 10 and 20 are provided with second and third display sections 13 and 23, respectively."

Moriya teaches in paragraph [0011], "in the conventional folded type portable radio apparatus, all of the sections such as the display section... are arranged on the same front surface of the housing in the opened state. Therefore, in the state in which the two housing sections are folded, the display section and the key operation section

Art Unit: 2673

are hidden inside the housings...there is a disadvantageous inconvenience in the aspect of the operability." Moriya further teaches in paragraph [0020] that an "object of the present invention is to provide a folded type portable radio apparatus in which a display section can be used in the state in which the folded type portable radio apparatus is folded."

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Lebby by having the mobile terminal mobile terminal is capable of being folded such that the organic electroluminescent device faces inside while the liquid crystal device faces outside, like the configuration of displays in the invention of Moriya. One would have been motivated to make such a change based on the teaching of Moriya "to provide a folded type portable apparatus in which a display section can be used in the state in which the…apparatus is folded."

Lebby in view of Moriya do not disclose that the liquid crystal device is a transflective liquid crystal display device.

Kitai discloses a liquid crystal device which is a transflective liquid crystal device. See paragraph [0018], disclosing, "[0018] The fourth object of the invention is to provide a thin, robust transflective monochromatic liquid crystal display, having improved performance characteristics including extended life (25-30,000 hours), high luminance and high contrast in a bright ambient environment, which is relatively easy to manufacture and is available in a number of colors without the use of filters."

Kitai further teaches in paragraph [0014], "[0014] Clearly such reflective displays are not useful in a dark environment. A hybrid type of display, based on employing both

Art Unit: 2673

reflective and transmissive illuminations, overcomes this limitation. This type of hybrid device is known as a transflective liquid crystal display and has been employed, for example, in automobile displays where the transmissive mode is switched on and used only for viewing the display in the dark. Transflective operation is enabled by disposing a transflective layer between the backlight assembly and the rear surface of the liquid crystal device. Such a layer degrades both modes of operation, so that overall performance is a design compromise between the two. "

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Lebby in view of Moriya, by having the LCD be a transflective LCD, as in the invention of Kitai. One would have been motivated to make such a change based on the teaching of Kitai that such "a hybrid type of display... is a design compromise between the two [reflective and transmissive displays]". Thus, Kitai teaches that such a choice is a design choice with the advantage of having a display that can both be used in a dark environment and conserve power when used in a bright environment by being reflective.

3. In regard to claims 9 and 10, Lebby in view of Moriya discloses an invention similar to that which is claimed in claims 9 and 10. See rejection of claim 1 for similarities. Lebby in view of Moriya does not disclose that the liquid crystal device is a color display or monochrome display.

Kitai discloses a liquid crystal device that is a monochrome and color display, as disclosed in the rejection of claim 1.

Art Unit: 2673

Kitai further teaches in paragraph [0013], "Both monochromatic and color displays are possible, with the latter employing color filters to filter individual colors from the ambient source of light.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to modify the invention of Lebby in view of Moriya by having the display be a monochrome or color display, as in the invention of Kitai. One would have been motivated to make such a change in order to provide a liquid crystal display that is monochrome or in color as appropriate for the specific use of the display and since Kitai teaches that either type of display is possible.

4. In regard to claim 11, Lebby in view of Moriya discloses an invention similar to that which is claimed in claim 11. See rejection of claim 1 for similarities. Lebby in view of Moriya does not disclose that the organic electroluminescent device is used as a light source for the liquid crystal device.

Kitai discloses a liquid crystal device that is illuminated by an electroluminescent device. See paragraph [0049], disclosing, "The liquid crystal display also includes an EL light source 40 disposed below the liquid crystal device 30".

Kitai further teaches the use of EL devices for lighting LCD's in paragraph [0006], disclosing, "For smaller liquid crystal displays in use, other types of light source are employed, the most common being powder EL and LED's" and in paragraph [0010], disclosing, "An alternative approach to multicolor liquid crystal displays is the use of a multicolor emissive backlight, with an array of different color light sources aligned with the color sub-pixels of the liquid crystal device. Both photoluminescent (U.S. Pat. No.

Art Unit: 2673

4,793,691) and powder EL (see U.S. Pat. No. 4,772,885) light sources have been proposed."

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Lebby in view of Moriya by using the organic electroluminescent device as a light source for the liquid crystal device, as in the invention of Kitai. One would have been motivated to make such a change in order to provide illumination to the liquid crystal display, using a method that is "common", according the teaching of Kitai.

- 5. In regard to claim 12, see Lebby column 4, lines 4-11, referring to figure 2, which states that "When power is being supplied to portable electronic device through battery power source 12, only one display, either 24, 26 or 28 is capable of being "ON". In this instance, the user would have control of which one display is "ON", more particularly preferred viewing operation, through function buttons 30. This ability for the electronic control of displays 24, 26 and 28 enables lower power drain on battery source 12. "Thus, a device that switches display between the liquid crystal device and the organic electroluminescent device is provided with the function buttons 30.
- 6. Claims 3 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lebby et al. 6,069,593 in view of Moriya 2001/0003707 A1 further in view of Kitai 2002/0163606 A1 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Shiraishi et al. 5,144,292, and further in view of Yamashita et al. 2000-105573.
- 7. In regard to claims 3 and 14, Lebby in view of Moriya further in view of Kitai discloses an invention similar to that which is claimed in claim 13. See rejection of

Art Unit: 2673

claim 1 for similarities. Lebby in view of Lebby in view of Moriya further in view of Kitai does not disclose that the electronic apparatus, when not manipulated for a predetermined period or during stand-by, the organic electroluminescent device being in a non-display mode, and only the liquid crystal device being in a display mode.

Shiraishi et al. discloses a liquid crystal display device (see figure 4, element 16) that has an electroluminescent backlight panel (element 24). See also from figure 4 that the electroluminescent panel is continuously driven. Shiraishi discloses in column 2, lines 36-38, that "a timer 13, which counts a predetermined period of time, serves to turn off the electroluminescent panel light 24". In this way, a timer is used to turn off the organic electroluminescent device after a predetermined period of time. Thus, after a predetermined period of time, the organic electroluminescent device is in a non-display mode, and only the liquid crystal device is in a display mode.

Shiraishi does not, however, disclose that the predetermined period of time corresponds to a period of time in which the device is not manipulated or during standby. Yamashita et al. discloses an organic electroluminescent display in which only the minimal necessary information is displayed during standby.

It would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Lebby in view of Komiya by switching off the EL display after a predetermined time period, leaving only display by the LCD, as in the invention of Shiraishi, and further to have the predetermined period of time correspond to a standby time period, as in the invention of Yamashita. One would have been motivated to make such a change in order to have low power consumption (see

Art Unit: 2673

Yamashita abstract), since an electroluminescent display consumes more power than a liquid crystal display.

- 8. Claims 4, 7 and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lebby et al. 6,069,593 in view of Moriya 2001/0003707 A1 further in view of Kitai 2002/0163606 A1 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kimura et al. 2001/0035849 A1.
- 9. In regard to claims 4, 7 and 8, Lebby in view of Moriya further in view of Kitai discloses an invention similar to that which is claimed in claims 4, 7 and 8. See rejection of claim 1 for similarities. Lebby in view of Komiya differs from the claimed invention in that it does not specify which driving method is to be used for the two displays.

Kimura et al. teaches in paragraph [0005] that "Similar to LCDs that have roughly two types of driving methods with one being passive matrix type...and the other being active matrix type...EL displays also are driven by roughly two types of driving methods. One is passive matrix type and the other is active matrix type." It is understood that a passive matrix driving method is a "simple" matrix driving method.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Lebby in view of Komiya according to the teaching of Kimura to use either an active or passive (simple) matrix driving method for an EL or LCD display. One would have been motivated to make such a change in order to use one of two known types of driving methods, active or passive (simple), for LCD and EL displays.

Art Unit: 2673

10. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lebby et al. 6,069,593 in view of Moriya 2001/0003707 A1 further in view of Kitai et al. 2002/0163606 A1 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Biferno 4,568,928.

11. In regard to claim 13, Lebby in view of Moriya further in view of Kitai discloses an invention similar to that which is claimed in claim 13. See rejection of claim 1 for similarities. See Lebby, column 2, lines 33-34, disclosing a battery is provided in the portable electronic device. Lebby in view of Komiya does not disclose a device that suspends display by the organic electroluminescent device and that switches automatically display by the liquid crystal device, when a remaining charge of the battery becomes a predetermined remaining charge.

Biferno et al. discloses a display system which includes an electoluminescent display (see column 1, lines 58-61) and a backup display (see column 2, lines 5-8). See column 4, lines 1-8, disclosing that the backup display may be a liquid crystal display. According to the invention, the backup display "becomes visible to the viewer when the electro-luminescent display...is not energized." Thus, there is a device that switches display from the electroluminescent device to the liquid crystal device based on the remaining energy in the electroluminescent device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Lebby in view of Moriya further in view of Kitai by having the display switch from the electroluminscent device to the liquid crystal device according to the energy level of the electroluminescent device, as in the invention of Biferno, with the energy being supplied by the battery of Lebby's invention.

Art Unit: 2673

In this manner, display by the organic electroluminescent device would switch automatically to display by the liquid crystal device, when a remaining charge of the battery becomes a predetermined remaining charge. One would have been motivated to make such a change in order to provide a display to the viewer when the electroluminescent display is not energized.

- 12. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lebby et al. 6,069,593 in view of Moriya 2001/0003707 A1 further in view of Kitai 2002/0163606 A1, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Shi et al. 5,736,754.
- 13. In regard to claim 15, Lebby in view of Moriya further in view of Kitai discloses an invention similar to that which is disclosed in claim 15. See rejection of claim 1 for similarities. Lebby in view of Moriya does not disclose that the organic electroluminescent device includes red, green and blue light emitting organic electroluminescent elements.

Shi discloses an organic electroluminescent device that includes red, green and blue light emitting organic electroluminescent elements. See column 1, lines 12-18, disclosing, "An organic LED array for image display applications is composed of a plurality of organic light emitting pixels arranged in rows and columns. To generate a full color display from a thin film electroluminescent array, there are two primary technologies known in the prior art. A full color array can be achieved by constructing three sub-pixels in one pixel, each sub-pixel emitting red, green or blue."

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Lebby in view of Moriya by having the

Art Unit: 2673

organic electroluminescent device include red, green and blue light emitting organic electroluminescent elements, as in the invention of Shi. One would have been motivated to make such a change based on the teaching of Shi that such an arrangement is a conventional and known technology and will "generate a full color display from a thin film electroluminescent array".

- 14. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lebby et al. 6,069,593 in view of Moriya 2001/0003707 A1 further in view of Kitai 2002/0163606 A1, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Shimazaki 5,493,690.
- 15. In regard to claims 16 and 17, Lebby in view of Moriya further in view of Kitai discloses an invention similar to that which is disclosed in claims 16 and 17. See rejection of claim 1 for similarities. Lebby in view of Moriya further in view of Kitai does not disclose that the organic electroluminescent display (the display facing inside) is automatically turned OFF when the mobile terminal is folded from the opened state and automatically turned ON when the mobile terminal is opened from the folded state.

Shimazaki discloses a foldable telephone set in which the display facing inside is automatically turned OFF when the mobile terminal is folded from the opened state and automatically turned ON when the mobile terminal is opened from the folded state. See figures 1(b) and 1(a) and note element 15 of fig. 1(b), depicting the display of Shimazaki, which faces the inside of the mobile device.

Shimazaki teaches in column 2, lines 42-47, "where the foldable portable telephone set is constructed such that the display device itself...is automatically

Art Unit: 2673

switched on or off in response to an opening or closing movement of the cover section, the power dissipation of the telephone set can be reduced."

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Lebby in view of Moriya further in view of Kitai by having the display facing inside automatically turned OFF when the mobile terminal is folded from the opened state and automatically turned ON when the mobile terminal is opened from the folded state, as in the invention of Shimazaki. One would have been motivated to make such a change based on the teaching of Shimazaki that "the power dissipation of the telephone set can be reduced" by having the inside display "automatically switched on or off in response to an opening or closing movement of the cover section".

## Response to Arguments

- 16. Applicant's arguments, see page 5 of the applicant's remarks, filed 25 June 2004, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 5 under 35 USC 103(a) utilizing the Oshitani reference have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art.
- 17. Further regard to applicant's argument on page 5, applicant argues that "none of the applied art teaches, discloses or suggests suspending display...when a remaining charge of the battery...becomes a predetermined remaining charge." However, as stated above and in the 26 March 2004 office action, Biferno et al. discloses a display system which includes an electoluminescent display (see column 1, lines 58-61) and a

Art Unit: 2673

backup display (see column 2, lines 5-8). See column 4, lines 1-8, disclosing that the backup display may be a liquid crystal display. According to the invention, the backup display "becomes visible to the viewer when the electro-luminescent display...is not energized." Thus, there is a device that switches display from the electroluminescent device to the liquid crystal device based on the remaining energy in the electroluminescent device. Examiner asserts that no energization is a predetermined remaining charge.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laurel E LeFlore whose telephone number is (703) 305-8627. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (703) 305-4938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2673

LEL

6 October 2004

Page 15

BIPIN SHALWALA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTED 2600

## Notice of References Cited

Application/Control No.

10/046,183

Examiner

Laurel E LeFlore

Applicant(s)/Patent Under
Reexamination
UCHIDA ET AL.

Art Unit
Page 1 of 1

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-2002/0163606 A1	11-2002	Kitai et al.	349/98
	В	US-			
	С	US-			
	D	ÚS-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	l	US-			,
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

#### **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	٧	
	W	
	x	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Form PTO-1449	<u></u>	HC Dont of Commo		T		<del></del>		
(REV. 8-83)		US Dept. of Comme PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE		ATTY D	OCKET NO.	A	PPLICATION N	40.
OIPENE	RMATI	TON DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	ļ	111718		10	0/046,183	
APR 2. 6 2004	Use	TON DISCLOSURE STATEMENT e several sheets if necessary)		APPLICA Masahire	ANT(S) o UCHIDA et al.			
2 PRADEMARY	<i>"</i> —		,	FILING I			ROUP 573	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S. PAT	ENT DOCU	UMENTS			
EXAMINER INITIAL		. DOCUMENT NUMBER		DATE	NA	ме	CLASS	SUB CLASS
732	1	5,841,431	11/2	/24/1998	SIMMERS			
	<del> </del>			<del></del>	<del> </del>	HEU	FIVEL	)
	+					APR 2	2004	
	-	<del> </del>	-			Technology	denter 2	300 -
	$\perp$		<del> </del>					
	<u></u>	FORE						-
	T	FUNE	IGN FA	ATENT DO	OCUMENTS			SUB
		DOCUMENT NUMBER	<u> </u>	DATE	COUN	ITRY	CLASS	CLASS -
	-		-					
	-		<del> </del>					
<del> </del>	┼		+			<u> </u>		<del></del>
		<u> </u>	-					
	<u> </u>	OTHER DOCUMENTS (In		Author	Title Date Pertinent Pr	ata)		<del></del>
410	2	Chinese Office Action dated April 2,		ig Aumo.,	Hue, Dac, retailent to	iges, etc.)		
192								
	+			,				-
								••
								•
EXAMINER	nu	rel F. L. Alex				DATE CONSI	IDERED 10/6/67	,
Examiner: I	nitial if	f citation considered, whether or not cannot cance and not considered. Include copy	citation of this	is in conf	formance with M.P.E.I	P. 609: draw line		on if not in
					TONE CONTINUES	appirouit.		

Sheet 1 of 1

Date: April 26, 2004

	Sheet
ATTY DOCKET NO.	APPLIC
111718	10/046,
	•

(REV. 8-83)		PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE	ATITE		APPLI	CATION N	iO.	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT			111718	111718 10/046,183				
	(Use s	several sheets if necessary)		CANT(S) ro UCHIDA et al. ~				
			FILING January	DATE 16, 2002	GROU 2673	P		
		U.S. P	ATENT DOC	UMENTS			<u> </u>	
EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME		CLASS	SUB CLASS	
ZEZ	1	6,459,199 B1	10/01/2002	KIDO et al.				
			.I D 4 2000	OCHARNES				
	[	FOREIGI	N PATENT D	OCUMENTS		<u> </u>	SUB	
		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY		CLASS	CLASS	
				<del></del>	+	$\longrightarrow$	•	
`	2	KR 2000-0011034	02/25/2000	KOREA				
	2		02/25/2000 U7/06/1998	KOREA .				
TRZ	<del> </del>							
TRZ 192	3	KR 1998 024347  JP 10-189237 (w/Abstract &	07/06/1998	KOREA				
TRL 192	3 4	KR 1998 024347  JP 10-189237 (w/Abstract & Translation)  JP 10-078582 (w/Abstract &	07/06/1998	KOREA JAPAN				
TRZ 182	3 4	JP 10-189237 (w/Abstract & Translation)  JP 10-078582 (w/Abstract & Translation)	07/06/1998 07/21/1998 03/24/1998	KOREA JAPAN				
TRL 192	3 4	JP 10-189237 (w/Abstract & Translation)  JP 10-078582 (w/Abstract & Translation)	07/06/1998 07/21/1998 03/24/1998	KOREA  JAPAN  JAPAN		;		
TRL 192	3 4	JP 10-189237 (w/Abstract & Translation)  JP 10-078582 (w/Abstract & Translation)	07/06/1998 07/21/1998 03/24/1998	KOREA  JAPAN  JAPAN		1		
77.2 192	3 4	JP 10-189237 (w/Abstract & Translation)  JP 10-078582 (w/Abstract & Translation)	07/06/1998 07/21/1998 03/24/1998	KOREA  JAPAN  JAPAN				
77.2	3 4	JP 10-189237 (w/Abstract & Translation)  JP 10-078582 (w/Abstract & Translation)	07/06/1998 07/21/1998 03/24/1998	KOREA  JAPAN  JAPAN		1		
77.2 192	3 4	JP 10-189237 (w/Abstract & Translation)  JP 10-078582 (w/Abstract & Translation)	07/06/1998 07/21/1998 03/24/1998	KOREA  JAPAN  JAPAN				
TRZ 192	3 4	JP 10-189237 (w/Abstract & Translation)  JP 10-078582 (w/Abstract & Translation)	07/06/1998 07/21/1998 03/24/1998	KOREA  JAPAN  JAPAN		,		
77.2 192	3 4	JP 10-189237 (w/Abstract & Translation)  JP 10-078582 (w/Abstract & Translation)	07/06/1998 07/21/1998 03/24/1998	KOREA  JAPAN  JAPAN				
782	3 4	JP 10-189237 (w/Abstract & Translation)  JP 10-078582 (w/Abstract & Translation)	07/06/1998 07/21/1998 03/24/1998	KOREA  JAPAN  JAPAN				
	3 4	JP 10-189237 (w/Abstract & Translation)  JP 10-078582 (w/Abstract & Translation)	07/06/1998 07/21/1998 03/24/1998	KOREA  JAPAN  JAPAN  , Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)		(		
EVAMINED	3 4	JP 10-189237 (w/Abstract & Translation)  JP 10-078582 (w/Abstract & Translation)  OTHER DOCUMENTS (Incl	07/06/1998 07/21/1998 03/24/1998	KOREA  JAPAN  JAPAN  , Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)	CONSIDE	(		

Date: August 26, 2004

Organization TC2600 Bldg./Rgsp2
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20231
IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

